

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,809	09/17/2003	Masanobu Nishitani	116927	4046
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 10/25/2007 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			SAINT CYR, LEONARD	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/663,809	NISHITANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonard Saint-Cyr	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lefy filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 August 2007</u> .					
,	, 					
, ===	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction are considered. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
·						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Shinoda et al., do not teach selecting a state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum for every state (Amendment, page 5-7).

The examiner disagrees, Shinoda et al., disclose computing the description length of each node in the Gaussian distribution tree structure; and selecting a node set which makes the description length minimum (page 4, lines 2 – 4). Computing a description length for each node and selecting the one that with minimum description length imply selecting a state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum for every state.

2. Applicant argues that Shinoda et al., do not teach time correlation of data (HMM) used for MDL calculation and speech data for learning (Amendment, page 7).

The examiner disagrees, Shinoda et al., disclose that when a set of models (1,...,i,...,I) and data Xn = (x1,...,xn) are given, a description length Ii (xN) applying model i is defined by

 $Ii(xN) = -\log P^{(i)}(xN) + i\beta 2 \log N + \log I$, where $\theta(i)$ represents the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter

Art Unit: 2626

Ы

θ(i)=.theta..sub.1.sup.(i),.theta..sub..beta.i.sup.(i) (page 2, section 3, lines 1 – 7).

Determining maximum likelihood for the parameter of model i implies using correlation of data (HMM) used for MDL calculation, since every xn is assigned beforehand to one Gaussian component in the HMM using the Viterbi algorithm.

3. Applicant argues that Shinoda et al., do not teach syllable HMMs (Amendment, page 7).

The examiner disagrees, Shinoda et al., disclose phonological features in HMM (page 5, lines 3 – 7). Phonological features in HMM implies syllable HMMs.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1- 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Shinoda et al., (HMM Size Reduction using MDL Criterion, Japan, March 2002).

As per claim 1, Shinoda et al., teach an acoustic model creating method of creating an HMM (Hidden Markov Model) by optimizing, for each state, Gaussian distribution numbers of the respective states constituting the HMM and retraining the optimized HMM using training speech data, the method comprising:

setting plural types of the Gaussian distribution numbers from a predetermined value to a maximum distribution number for each of the plurality of states constituting

Art Unit: 2626

٤,

the HMM ("model having a large number of distributions trained with sufficient training data"; page 1; introduction, lines 19 – 23);

computing a description length for each of the plurality of states having the plural types of Gaussian distribution numbers using a Minimum Description Length criterion ("Minimum Description Length criterion minimum is selected for each state"; page 1; introduction, lines 23, and 24);

selecting a state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum, for every state (page 4, line 4);

constructing the HMM in accordance with the state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum, selected for every state ("reestimate all HMM parameters"), and retraining the constructed HMM using the training speech data ("re-training HMM"; page 4, lines 5 – 7; page 5, lines 8 – 10); and

performing speech recognition using the retrained HMM (page 4, section 5, lines 1, and 2)

As per claim 2, Shinoda et al., further disclose that for the Minimum Description Length criterion, a description length li(xN) using a model i when a model set $\{1, \ldots, i, \ldots, I\}$ and data $xN=\{x1, \ldots, xN\}$ (N being a data length) are given is expressed as the following general equation,

 $li(xN) = -log P^{(i)}(xN) + i\beta 2 log N + log I.$

 $\theta(i)$: parameter of model i .

Art Unit: 2626

θ(i)=maximum likelihood estimate of .theta..sub.1.sup.(i), .theta..sub..beta.i.sup.(i) β (i): dimension (degree of freedom) of model i and in the general equation that computes the description length, the model set {1, ..., i, ..., l} is considered as a set of states in which plural types of the Gaussian distribution numbers from a predetermined value to the maximum distribution number are set for a predetermined state in a predetermined HMM, where, when the number of types of the Gaussian distribution numbers is I (I is an integer satisfying l≥2), then 1, ..., i, ..., I are symbols that specify the respective distribution number types from a first type to an I-th type, and the general equation is used as an equation for computing the description length of the state having an i-th type of distribution number out of 1, ..., i, ..., I (page 2, section 3 – page 3, line 11).

As per claims 3, and 4, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the second term on the right side of the equation being multiplied by a weighting coefficient alpha, and the third term on the right side being omitted ("the second term is multiplied by penalty coefficient α "; page 3, lines 10, and 11).

As per claim 5, Shinoda et al., further disclose that xN being a set of the respective training speech data obtained by matching in time series (x1.....,xN) a plurality of the training speech data with the respective states of the HMMs for every state, using the HMMs in which the respective states have any one of the Gaussian

distribution numbers from the predetermined value to the maximum distribution number ("large number of distributions"; page 2, section 3, lines 1, and 2; page 1, lines 19 - 23).

As per claim 6, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the Gaussian distribution numbers being the maximum distribution number ("large number of distributions"; page 1, lines 19 – 23).

As per claim 7, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the HMMs being syllable HMMs ("phonological"; page 5, lines 3-7).

As per claim 8, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the syllable HMMs having the same consonant out of the states constituting the syllable HMMs tie an initial state or at least two states including an initial state in the syllable HMMs, and the syllable HMMs having the same vowel tie a final state of the states having self loops or at least two states including the final state in the syllable HMMs ("initial model"; page 4, section 4, line 5 – page 4, line 7)

As per claim 9, Shinoda et al., further disclose that a speech recognition device recognizes input speech using HMMs (Hidden Markov Models) as acoustic models for feature data obtained by feature analysis of the input speech, the HMMs created by the acoustic model creating method according to claim 1 being used as the HMMs which are the acoustic models ("used acoustic feature"; page 4, section 5, lines 1 - 6).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard Saint-Cyr whose telephone number is (571) 272-4247. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LS 10/22/07

RICHEMOND DORVIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED